© 2014 Sudarshan S. Chawathe

**Today** Regular expressions, equivalence to FSAs, nonregular languages.  $\S 1.3$ , 1.4. **Next class** HW due before class. Context-free grammars; push-down automata.  $\S 2.\{0, 1, 2\}$ 

- 1. List the members of your group below. Underline your name.
- 2. Prove or disprove the regularity of each of the following languages.
  - (a) Alphanumeric strings that do not have **boo** as a substring.
  - (b)  $\{0^n 1^n \mid 0 \le n \le 3\}$
  - (c) Binary strings with an equal number of 01 and 10 substrings.
  - (d) Strings of the form sss, for all binary strings s.

[additional space for answering the earlier question]

3. Provide FSAs equivalent to  $a(d \cup a)^*$  and  $(a \cup b \cup c)^*(ab \cup ba)$ . Ensure you can provide DFAs, but NFAs are OK for now.

4. Use the textbook method (see proof of Lemma 1.60) to compute regular expressions equivalent to the automata defined below in the homework's *Lexaard* language.

fsa				fsa			
m1 ve	ery	$\operatorname{small}$		m2 a	bit	larg	ger
	a	b			a	b	с
*q1	q1	q2		q1	q2	q1	q1
q2	$\mathbf{q}2$	q1		*q2	q1	q2	q3
				q3	q2	q1	q3